

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/601,795	06/23/2003	Morris Samelson	P-5435(DIV2)	7990
7590 07/22/2005			EXAMINER	
Morris Samelson			CHANNAVAJJALA, LAKSHMI SARADA	
Earth Salts International, Inc 11729 Warfield			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Antonio, TX 78216			1615	
			DATE MAILED: 07/22/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/601,795	SAMELSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lakshmi S. Channavajjala					
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30)  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states after the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or	CATION.  f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a inication.  j days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thin utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	i on <u>27 <i>Jun</i>e 2005</u> .					
·	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objec						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
2. Certified copies of the priority of	documents have been received. documents have been received in A of the priority documents have been nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F Paper No(s)/Mail Date  S. Patent and Todemark Office.		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

1c

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Receipt of RCE, amendment, remarks and affidavit all dated 6-27-05 is acknowledged.

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6-27-05 has been entered.

Claims 1-21 are pending in the instant application.

The following new rejection has been applied to the instant claims:

### Claim Objections

A series of singular dependent claims is permissible in which a dependent claim refers to a preceding claim which, in turn, refers to another preceding claim. Instant claims 5-11 and 13-16, which recite a different carrier medium, are improperly dependent on the preceding claim, whereas the generic limitation "all-natural carrier medium" is present in claim 3. It is suggested to applicants to correct the instant claims to depend from claim 3. Similarly, claims 13-16 recite a specific essential oil and should be dependent upon claim 12, instead of the preceding claims.

**Art Unit: 1615** 

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Instant claims recite the new limitation "anhydrous", which constitute new matter that is not supported by the instant specification. A careful review of the instant disclosure does not reveal any support for the claimed anhydrous composition and thus does not comply with the written description requirement.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-3 and 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over KR 149428 (KR) in view of US 6,458,388 to Genis or Genis in view of KR.

KR teach a cosmetic composition comprising a large quantity of salt, about 15%-85% of Dead Sea Salt or bay salt or bamboo salt, having a particle size in the range of 0.1mm to 5.0 mm (abstract). The particle size distribution on page 13 of the instant specification states that the granularity of the instant Dead Sea particles is even ultra fine than the known particles, greater than 90%, which pass than 1.7mm to 4mm. Thus, it is understood from the specification that the particles of instant invention are finer than the 1.7 mm and KR teaches particles that are as small as 0.1 mm and thus meet the claimed particle size. With respect to the limitation "anhydrous", see the new matter

Art Unit: 1615

rejection. KR does not teach the steps of heating and cooling. KR teaches the composition as a cosmetic scrub.

Genis teaches cosmetic scrub composition comprising Dead Sea salt granules, having a particle size of 0.1-0.3 mm, which is less than the claimed size (col. 2, lines 28-60). With respect to subjecting the precursors to consecutive heating and cooling cycles as claimed, Genis teaches the steps of mixing Dead Sea salt with an emulsifier at a temperature higher than room temperature, homogenizing the mixture and cooling; and subjecting the mixture to another heating and cooling cycle (col. 3, lines 40-60).

With respect to the limitation that the particles do not rapidly settle out of carrier medium, Genis discloses Dead Sea particles of same particle size and in a cosmetic medium containing vitamin E, which is also described in the instant specification (page 19). Accordingly, absent showing evidence to the contrary, it is implicit that the particles of Genis or KR do not settle out of carrier medium. Instant claims recite at least 50% processed, but does not state if 50% is pertaining to the concentration of Dead Sea salts in the composition.

Genis fails to teach the exact claimed temperatures for heating, cooling, cooling the process vessel after heating step to the claimed temperature and the rate of cooling as claimed. However, Genis teaches the general process of subjecting the components (precursors) of the cosmetic composition containing Dead Sea salts. In particular, Genis teaches heating at a temperature of 10-20 degrees C (approximately between 75 to 80 degrees C) higher than room temperature (which ranges between 18 to 32 C) and cooling to slowly to a temperature of 45 degrees C (col. 4, lines 4-14). Instant claims

Art Unit: 1615

recite heating to 65 degrees C and cooling to 42 degrees C, which is not significantly different from the temperatures taught by Genis.

Both KR and Genis teach compositions containing Dead Sea salts for the achieving the same cosmetic effect i.e., as a scrub for massaging skin. KR suggests concentration of salts as high as 85% by total weight of the composition so as to enhance massage and blood flow promoting effect. Accordingly, it would have been obvious for one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to use a higher concentration of Dead Sea salts in the composition of Genis because KR suggests an enhanced blood-flow promoting and skin moisturizing/massaging effect. Alternatively, it would have been obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to employ the process steps of Genis in preparing the compostion of KR, and further to optimize the temperature range or exact temperatures of heating and cooling cycles, such that a homogenized cream containing Dead Sea salt granules, which is stable upon storage and still achieve the desired skin scrubbing effect (col. 5, lines 40-55).

Claims 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over KR 149428 (KR) in view of (Genis), as applied to claims 1-3 and 17-21 in view of EP 1074245 (EP '245).

Genis as well as KR fail to teach the specific essential oils of instant claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,795 Page 6

Art Unit: 1615

EP '245 teaches cosmetic composition containing Dead Sea mineral salts that are granular in nature, for the treatment of skin itching caused by Psoriasis or for skin exfoliating or scrubbing. The composition of EP '245 contains 5% Dead Sea salts and other herbs and the instant claimed aromatic essences such as lavender, chamomile, calendula etc., for skin relaxing or other therapeutic effects (Table on page 9 continued on page 10). EP '245 also teaches emollients and waxes such as vegetable oils, lipo wax etc (page 5, paragraph 0053; table on page 6, and page 4, paragraph 0043). Accordingly, it would have been obvious for one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to include cosmetic aroma agents such as chamomile, lavender and calendula in the cosmetic composition containing Dead sea salts of Genis or KR, because EP '245 suggests that the composition contains granular Dead Sea mineral salts in an amount sufficient to improve properties of the cosmetic and cleansing composition (Paragraphs 0019, 0020 & 1:10 ratio, Para 0059), to provide smoothness, decrease lumpiness, itchiness or edema of the skin and that the aroma agents help in protecting skin from damage due to itching, flaking, eczema etc., impart a pleasant and tactile feeling (emollients); improve circulation and relaxation (herbs and aroma agents). Further, The process of preparing the composition (page 7) of EP '245 involves a homogenous mixing, which is the same as instant, because instant application also describes the use of ultra fine Dead Sea salts for a homogenous mixing so as to maintain uniformity of the solid suspension. Instant application also describes the same application of Dead Sea salts as that of EP '245. Thus, the expected result is to achieve

Art Unit: 1615

an effective treatment for reducing itching and increasing smoothness of skin with Dead Sea salts and improved relaxation with the aromatic agents.

Claims 4- 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over KR 149428 (KR) in view of (Genis), as applied to claims 1-3 and 17-21 in view of 5,997,889 to Durr et al (Durr).

KR and Genis fail to teach the claimed oils. Genis teaches oils such as sunflower oil and KR teaches mineral oil.

'889 teaches hand and body cream for skin ailments such as dry, itchy skin, eczema, psoriasis etc., comprising oils such as almond oil, jojoba oil, vitamin e oil, for moisturizing and conditioning of skin (col. 2) and beeswax for forming a protective barrier (col. 3). Further, '889 teach addition of fragrances such as rosewood, chamomile, calendula, lavender, etc (col.4, lines 43-58), as essential oils for providing immediate relief from skin discomfort. It would have been obvious for one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to include the emollients such as jojoba oil, waxes and fragrances/essential oils of '889 in the composition of Genis (or KR) containing Dead sea salts because '889 teaches the ingredients for moisturizing and providing relief to psoriatic or itchy skin conditions. Therefore, a skilled artisan would have expected to achieve a more effective composition for treating psoriasis with a composition containing Dead Sea salts, emollients such as jojoba oils etc. While none of the above references particularly teach the claimed oils i.e., coconut oil, Palm oil, olive, soybean oil etc., '889 suggest incorporating oils in general for providing skin

Art Unit: 1615

conditioning benefits and provide relief from eczema, dermatitis, psoriasis etc.

Accordingly, absent showing criticality it would have been within the scope of a skilled artisan to choose an appropriate oil in the composition of Genis containing Dead Sea salts so as to provide the desired emolliency.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Examiner has considered the unexpected results and the Declaration (1.132) submitted by applicants but not found persuasive. Examiner has cited new grounds of rejection. Further, a careful consideration of the unexpected results reveals that the results presented are not commensurate with the scope of the instant claims because instant claim 1 recites at least 50% of the Dead sea salts and while the results show that Dead sea salts at a concentration of 50% and 51% show an acceptable feel, excellent scent, a concentration of 55% (which still meets the claimed "at least 50%) however shows that the immediate effect on skin is not as intended, although the feel is acceptable, and also the suspension obtained is over thick with too much salts to feel. Further, even at a concentration of 51% Dead Sea salts in the composition, the feel, appearance, and the ability to remain in suspension without separation and finally the best intended effect on the skin appears to be a function of the concentration of soybean oil and beeswax at a concentration of 9% and 3% respectively (experiment 10), which is not commensurate with the claimed invention. With respect to the ultra fine

Art Unit: 1615

particles, the new reference KR teaches high concentration as well as particles within the claimed range.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lakshmi S. Channavajjala whose telephone number is 571-272-0591. The examiner can normally be reached on 9.00 AM -6.30 PM

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lakshmi S Channavajjala

Examiner Art Unit 1615

July 20, 2005